

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,994 10/3		0/31/2003 Naoshige Itami		3408.68664	8859	
24978	7590	03/08/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
GREER, B		CRAIN	VU, I	VU, PHU		
300 S WACKER DR 25TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 6060	6	2871			
				DATE MAILED: 03/08/2000	DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.   Application No.   IntAMILET AL.								
Examiner Phu Vu Phu Vu Prior MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION.  □ Extensions of time may be available under the proteions of 3 °C FR 1.136(o). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filled □ It the period for really is pecified above, the maintend of 3 °C FR 1.136(o). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filled □ It the period for really is pecified above, the maintend relations prioride value as the substance of the periorism of this period for really is pecified above, the maintend state for maintend of the communication of the co		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Phu Vu	Office Action Comments	10/698,994	ITAMI ET AL.					
- The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estencions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a risply be limited filled the state of the provision of the p	Uπice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF ITHIS COMMUNICATION.  - Exercisions of lines may be available under the prevaitors of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rapity be limsly filed  - Exercision of complete the prevaitors of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rapity be limsly filed  - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire \$1X (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire \$1X (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire \$1X (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire \$1X (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire \$1X (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period is the period is specified and the communication is non-final.  - If the period is the period is specified and is a specified and the communication.  - If the period is period is specified and is a specified and is a specified and the communication.  - If the period is the period is specified and is a specified an								
THE MAILING DITE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of imm may be available used the provision of 3 CPR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be limitly filed after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication; and the state SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication; and the state of the communication of the state of the communication; and the state of the st		ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a religible of the maximum statutory perions.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the main management of the maximum state of the main management.	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tined by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b ☐ This action is non-final.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s)	Status							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Praftsperson's Pattern Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information blocalours Estatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.						
4)	·—	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in His National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of Paferences Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Paferences Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Orlangerson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Disposition of Claims							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	<ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-13 is/are withdr</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.						
10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		•						
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		4) 🗍 Interview Summan	y (PTO-413)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/16/2005</u> . 6) Other:	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					

Art Unit: 2871

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 and 14-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Kim et al US Patent No 6380995.

Regarding claim 1, Kim teaches a method of manufacturing a substrate for a liquid crystal display device comprising the steps of forming a resin layer on a substrate; selectively reforming the surface portion of the resin layer by applying energy with an energy per unit time of a prescribed value or more to said resin layer to generate a thermal shrinkage (which is achieved by partially curing) between said surface portion and the layer portion other than the surface portion in the resin layer; performing a heat treatment to said layer to form undulations in said surface portion, and forming reflective electrodes on the surface portion (see column 3 lines 45-57 and column 4 lines 1-10). The reference does not explicitly state the energy-applied results in a difference in thermal shrinkage however this is a property as a direct result of partially curing.

Art Unit: 2871

Therefore, it would have been obvious to generate a difference in thermal shrinkage, as it is a direct result of partial curing of a resin layer since the cured portions will expand differently than uncured portions. While the reference shows random undulations formed as shown in fig. 2 each of the undulations of surface 33 are of different size and considered random.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, the reference teaches energy is applied by irradiation with ultraviolet light (see column 3 lines 45-57).

Regarding claim 9 with respect to claims 1 - 2, the reference teaches a liquid crystal display in which a pair of substrates are manufactured and the substrates are mutually stuck together so that liquid crystal is sealed between the substrates (see figure 2).

Claims 4 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim in view of Ichimura et al US Patent No 6327009.

Regarding claim 4, Kim teaches all the limitations of claim 4 except the energy applied exceeding 12 mW/cm^2. Ichimura discloses a process of curing a photosensitive resin by heating and partially curing the uses UV light exceeding 12mW/cm^2 to create a display with improved visibility (see column 2 lines 58-65 and column 5 lines 25-60). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a UV curing process exceeding 12mW/cm^2 to create a display with improved visibility.

Regarding claim 7 with respect to claims 1-4, Kim teaches use of a photosensitive resin (see column 3 lines 45-57).

Art Unit: 2871

Regarding claim 8 with respect to claims 1-4, Kim discloses all the limitations of claim 8 except the photosensitive resin is a novolac resist. Ichiumura discloses novolac as a commercially available resin (see column 8 lines 4-14). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use novolac as it is readily available photosensitive resin.

Regarding claim 9 with respect to claims 3-4, Kim teaches a liquid crystal display in which a pair of substrates are manufactured and the substrates are mutually stuck together so that liquid crystal is sealed between the substrates (see figure 2).

Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim in view of Codama US Patent no 6339291.

Regarding claims 5-6 and claim 8 with respect to claims 5 and 6, Kim discloses all the limitations of claims 5 and 6 except irradiation of ultraviolet rays with an illumination below 12 mW/cm^2 of a novolac resin layer in a semi-hardened condition prior to application of energy and wherein the heat treatment of the resin layer is performed at a prescribed temperature prior to application of energy. Codama teaches a semi-hardened novolac resin wherein energy is applied at a rate of 10 mW/cm^2 wherein the resin layer is semi-hardened prior to the application energy through heat treatment a prescribed temperature that undergoes little shrinkage during curing (see column 8 lines 6-11 and 60-67 and 9 lines 1-6). Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a novolac resin wherein energy is applied at a rate of 10 mW/cm^2 to a semi-hardened resin layer to reduce shrinkage during curing. While Codama's invention pertains to a organic EL

Art Unit: 2871

device Codama also states that these features are applicable to liquid crystal technology (see column 12 line 10-11).

Regarding claim 7 with respect to claims 5-6, Kim teaches use of a photosensitive resin (see column 3 lines 45-57).

Regarding claim 9 with respect to claims 5-6, Kim teaches a liquid crystal display in, which a pair of substrates are manufactured and the substrates are mutually stuck together so that liquid crystal is sealed between the substrates (see figure 2).

Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ichimura US 6181397 in view of Yoshii 20020030774.

Regarding claim 14, Ichimura teaches a method of manufacturing a substrate for a liquid crystal display device comprising the steps of: forming a resin layer on a substrate (fig. 3 element 12), selectively reforming the surface portion of the resin layer by applying energy and energy density per unit time of a prescribed value or more to said resin layer without using a mask to generate a difference in a rate of thermal shrinkage between said surface portion and the other layer portion other than the surface portion in said resin layer (see fig. 3C), and performing heat treatment (column 9 line 51) on the resin layer to form undulations in said surface portion; and forming reflective electrodes on said surface portion (13a). The reference fails to teach the undulations being random however, Yoshi teaches a reflective layer formed by using a similar process that forms random undulations (see fig. 8 and fig. 10) that provides high reflectance over a wide angle ([0015]) therefore, at the time of the invention it would

Art Unit: 2871

have been obvious to on of ordinary skill in the art to form random undulations to gain high reflectance over wide angles.

Regarding claims 15-17, the reference teaches the application of energy is performed by ultraviolet light exceeding 12 mw/cm<sup>2</sup> (column 9 lines 40-45).

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Ichimura in view of Yoshii and further view of Codama US Patent no 6339291.

Regarding claim 18 except irradiation of ultraviolet rays with an illumination below 12 mW/cm^2 of the photoresist in a semi-hardened condition prior to application of energy and wherein the heat treatment of the resin layer is performed at a prescribed temperature prior to application of energy. Codama teaches a semi-hardened novolac resin wherein energy is applied at a rate of 10 mW/cm^2 wherein the resin layer is semi-hardened prior to the application energy through heat treatment a prescribed temperature that undergoes little shrinkage during curing (see column 8 lines 6-11 and 60-67 and 9 lines 1-6). Therefore, at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a novolac resin wherein energy is applied at a rate of 10 mW/cm^2 to a semi-hardened resin layer to reduce shrinkage during curing. While Codama's invention pertains to a organic EL device Codama also states that these features are applicable to liquid crystal technology (see column 12 line 10-11).

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phu Vu whose telephone number is (571)-272-1562. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571)-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2871

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phu Vu Examiner AU 2871

ANDREW SCHECHTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER